





The Economic Level of Water Conservation (ELWC)

Summary



Why do we use the Economic Level of Water Conservation?

Sydney Water supplies over 1.5 billion litres of water a day to over five million customers across Greater Sydney and the Illawarra . It is important we do this efficiently and effectively.

Before 2016, we had fixed targets for reducing drinking water use. The targets were successful, and we have been able to serve more than one million extra customers since the 1980s, without increasing the city's total water use.

However, the old targets were not flexible. For example, we had to invest even if the dams were full and there was plenty of water. The Economic Level of Water Conservation (ELWC) was proposed as a new way of deciding how much water to save. It was developed by Sydney Water, and approved by the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) in December 2016.

Under our Operating Licence 2019-2023, we must:

- Implement water saving projects that have been assessed as 'economic' using the method
- Update and publish key outputs of the method each month (value of water and estimated water savings)
- Publish a Plain English summary of the method



Source: WaterNSW

From 1 November 2019, changes to the ELWC method can only be made by the Minister for Water, Housing and Property.

How does this approach work?

The method says we should invest to save water if the benefits are more than the costs. For example, if we could spend \$100 on fixing a leak and the benefits are \$200, we should fix it. If fixing that same leak instead costs \$250 we should not proceed with the investment,

An important feature of the approach is that the benefits of saving water can change depending on rainfall. For example, if dam levels are very low the benefits will be greater, and we should invest more to save water.

Whose costs and benefits are included?

We consider the costs and benefits to the whole community, not just the financial impacts on Sydney Water. For example, water restrictions apply in times of drought and customers need to change how they use water – so if we can save enough water at the right time, this could delay restrictions. The ELWC method tries to capture the value of these changes.



How do you compare different programs?

Some programs save a lot of water and others only a small amount. A program may take years to deliver savings, while others might save high volumes of water right away. We need to make sure all programs are compared fairly.

To properly compare things that happen in different years, we need to convert future costs, benefits and water saved into their equivalent values of today – known as their *present value*. We do this by multiplying future values by a *discount factor*.

The discount factor works a bit like the adage ‘a dollar today is worth more than a dollar tomorrow’. We also apply this approach to the volume of water saved, because we prefer water savings earlier than later.

Once we have converted everything to present values, we add up program-specific costs and benefits and divide by the volume of water saved. The result is the *levelized cost*, which is expressed in units of dollars per kilolitre. This allows us to prioritise programs, based on their cost-effectiveness.

What kind of costs and benefits do you consider?

The NSW Government's 2017 [Metropolitan Water Plan](#) outlines how we manage water, including water transfers from the Shoalhaven River, supplying water from the Sydney Desalination Plant, and water restrictions. The triggers to start using each measure is linked to dam levels, with lower cost actions used when dams are high, and higher cost actions used if dams fall to low levels. Our method tries to capture the following items, which apply to any program that saves drinking water.

Table 1: Costs triggered by changing dam levels

Description	When applied	Valuation method
Raw water from WaterNSW	All dam levels	IPART determined price
Water filtration and treatment	All dam levels	Sydney Water costs Weighted average across all plants
Distribution of treated water	All dam levels	Sydney Water costs Weighted average across all systems
Initial transfer of water from the Shoalhaven River	Dam levels below 75%	IPART determined price
Additional transfers of water from the Shoalhaven River	Dam levels below 35%	IPART determined price
Restart and operation of the Sydney Desalination Plant	Dam levels below 60%	IPART determined prices
Planning for an expansion of the Sydney Desalination Plant	Dam levels reach 50%	Planning estimates
Construction of an expansion of the Sydney Desalination Plant	Dam levels reach 35%	Planning estimates
Level 1 water restrictions	Dam levels reach 50%	Customer willingness-to-pay
Level 2 water restrictions	Dam levels reach 40%	Customer willingness-to-pay
Level 3 water restrictions	Dam levels reach 30%	Customer willingness-to-pay
Construction of other drought response measures	Dam levels below 30%	Planning estimates for Government-endorsed options
Reducing environmental flows in the Hawkesbury Nepean River	Dam levels below 30%	Customer willingness-to-pay for lost environmental benefits



Image: Sydney Desalination Plant

Since they apply to any possible water saving program, the costs in Table 1 add together to determine what we call the '*value of water*'.

However, we can also count other costs and benefits that are specific to a water conservation program. For example, saving water can reduce the volume of wastewater we need to manage, avoiding wastewater treatment costs. Programs that target outdoor water use will not change our wastewater costs. We count these program-specific costs and benefits in the levelized cost of a program, but not in the value of water.


How do you know when to invest?

Our goal is to invest at the right time, before higher costs are triggered. To plan our investments, we need to make assumptions about dam levels many years into the future.

However, the future is uncertain. The method deals with this by estimating how likely it is we will reach different dam levels in the next five years.

We currently do this by looking at past dam levels, to see how they have changed over time. Using that information, we can estimate a probability of reaching future dam levels. An example is shown in the following graph, which assumes that dam levels start at close to 100% full. History tells us that, after five years, dams are still likely to be almost full. However, there have also been times when dams have fallen significantly, showing us there is about a 10% chance they will fall to 70% or lower.

Will dams rise, fall or stay the same?



Multiplying the value of water by the probability of different future dam levels results in an *expected value of water*. Our method says we should invest in a water saving program if the levelized cost is less than (or equal to) the expected value of water.

How do you deal with programs that save water for a long time?

The costs in Table 1 change with dam levels, and we know that dam levels can rise and fall in the space of a few years. However, some programs, like water recycling, may save water for decades.


We need to be careful to not spend too much in response to falling dam levels. For example, while the value of water may rise in a deep drought, this is unlikely to last more than a few years. Investing in a long-term program based on an extreme value of water means customers could be left with very high costs long after the drought has broken, and the water may not be really needed.

We deal with this by using a different value of water depending on the length of water savings:

- For programs that achieve water savings for up to five years, we use the short-run value of water to make investment decisions.
- For programs with water savings for more than 20 years, we use the *long-run value* of water. The long-run value of water is based on the costs of all the measures needed to meet water demand in the long term, as described in the 2017 Metropolitan Water Plan. IPART also uses this information to help set the water usage price paid by our customers.
- For programs that deliver water savings for more than five, but less than 20 years, we use an *intermediate value of water*. This is calculated on a pro-rata basis for each year of water savings, based on the short- and long-run values.

The long-run value of water is reasonably stable over time. However, as the short-run value of water changes with dam levels, the intermediate value of water will also change, as shown below.

The value of water depends on dam levels and the length of water savings





- The value of water depends on the forecast length of water savings but can also vary with dam levels. As the value of water rises, we invest more to save drinking water.

Year	Dam storage level (% full)	Short-run value of water (\$ / kL)
1980	90%	\$0.40
1981	88%	\$0.60
1982	85%	\$0.60
1983	95%	\$0.20
1984	98%	\$0.20
1985	95%	\$0.20
1986	94%	\$0.40
1987	93%	\$0.40
1988	92%	\$0.40
1989	90%	\$0.40
1990	88%	\$0.40
1991	85%	\$0.60
1992	83%	\$0.60
1993	80%	\$0.80
1994	78%	\$0.80
1995	75%	\$1.00
1996	73%	\$1.00
1997	70%	\$1.00
1998	68%	\$1.40
1999	65%	\$1.40
2000	63%	\$1.40
2001	62%	\$1.40
2002	61%	\$1.40
2003	60%	\$1.40
2004	58%	\$1.60
2005	57%	\$1.60
2006	56%	\$1.60
2007	55%	\$1.60
2008	53%	\$1.80
2009	52%	\$1.80
2010	51%	\$1.80
2011	50%	\$1.80
2012	49%	\$2.00
2013	48%	\$2.00

Need more info? Talk to us

If you have any questions, [please contact us.](#)

